#### The Mathematics of Light and Vision

Vilas Winstein

January 25, 2022





#### 494-434 BC (pre-Socratic).



494-434 BC (pre-Socratic).

# Credited with originating the theory of the *four elements*





494-434 BC (pre-Socratic).

# Credited with originating the theory of the *four elements*



494-434 BC (pre-Socratic). Credited with originating the theory of the *four elements* 







"Aphrodite made human eyes out of the four elements.



"Aphrodite made human eyes out of the four elements. In particular, she lit a fire inside each eye, which shines rays outwards.



"Aphrodite made human eyes out of the four elements. In particular, she lit a fire inside each eye, which shines rays outwards. These rays make sight possible:



"Aphrodite made human eyes out of the four elements. In particular, she lit a fire inside each eye, which shines rays outwards. These rays make sight possible: the objects they hit are illuminated



"Aphrodite made human eyes out of the four elements. In particular, she lit a fire inside each eye, which shines rays outwards. These rays make sight possible: the objects they hit are illuminated and hence detected."



"Aphrodite made human eyes out of the four elements. In particular, she lit a fire inside each eye, which shines rays outwards. These rays make sight possible: the objects they hit are illuminated and hence detected."

















#### **Computer Graphics**

#### **Computer Graphics**



# Objects

#### **Computer Graphics**



#### Ibn al-Haytham



#### Ibn al-Haytham



965 - 1040 AD (Islamic Golden Age)

#### Ibn al-Haytham



"A hypothesis must be supported by experiments and/or mathematics."

965 - 1040 AD (Islamic Golden Age)

#### lbn al-Haytham



"A hypothesis must be supported by experiments and/or mathematics."



"Visual signals are formed when an object reflects light into the eye."

965 - 1040 AD (Islamic Golden Age)

#### lbn al-Haytham



965 - 1040 AD (Islamic Golden Age)

"A hypothesis must be supported by experiments and/or mathematics."



"Visual signals are formed when an object reflects light into the eye."

"The brain processes visual signals from the eyes;

Vilas Winstein

The Mathematics of Light and Vision

#### lbn al-Haytham



965 - 1040 AD (Islamic Golden Age)

"A hypothesis must be supported by experiments and/or mathematics."



"Visual signals are formed when an object reflects light into the eye."

"The brain processes visual signals from the eyes; this is how we see."

Vilas Winstein

The Mathematics of Light and Vision

January 25, 2022

5/20

#### Computer Graphics (Shadows)



#### Objects

### Computer Graphics (Shadows)





## Objects







## Computer Graphics (Transparency)


# Computer Graphics (Transparency)



#### Refraction



#### Refraction





#### Refraction





The Mathematics of Light and Vision





$$\mathbf{v}_i =$$
 velocity of light in medium *i*.





 $\mathbf{n}_i$  = refractive index of medium *i*:



 $\mathbf{v}_i$  = velocity of light in medium *i*.

 $\mathbf{n}_i$  = refractive index of medium *i*:

$$\mathbf{n}_i = \frac{c}{\mathbf{v}_i},$$



 $\mathbf{v}_i$  = velocity of light in medium *i*.

 $\mathbf{n}_i$  = refractive index of medium *i*:

$$\mathbf{n}_i = \frac{c}{\mathbf{v}_i},$$

where c is the speed of light in vacuum.



 $\mathbf{v}_i$  = velocity of light in medium *i*.

 $\mathbf{n}_i$  = refractive index of medium *i*:

$$\mathbf{n}_i = \frac{c}{\mathbf{v}_i},$$

where c is the speed of light in vacuum.

 $\theta_i$  = angle of incidence with the normal to the interface between media



 $\mathbf{v}_i$  = velocity of light in medium *i*.

 $\mathbf{n}_i$  = refractive index of medium *i*:

$$\mathbf{n}_i = \frac{c}{\mathbf{v}_i},$$

where c is the speed of light in vacuum.

 $\theta_i$  = angle of incidence with the normal to the interface between media (on side *i*).



 $\mathbf{v}_i$  = velocity of light in medium *i*.

 $\mathbf{n}_i$  = refractive index of medium *i*:

$$\mathbf{n}_i = \frac{c}{\mathbf{v}_i},$$

where c is the speed of light in vacuum.

 $\theta_i$  = angle of incidence with the normal to the interface between media (on side *i*).

$\sin  heta_1$	_ <b>v</b> <sub>1</sub> _	<b>n</b> <sub>2</sub>
$\sin \theta_2$	$-\overline{\mathbf{v}_2}$	$\overline{\mathbf{n}_1}$

 ~150 AD: Ptolemy of Alexandria finds a relationship that works for small angles of refraction.

- ~150 AD: Ptolemy of Alexandria finds a relationship that works for small angles of refraction.
- 984 AD: Ibn Sahl of Baghdad accurately describes Snell's law.

- ~150 AD: Ptolemy of Alexandria finds a relationship that works for small angles of refraction.
- 984 AD: Ibn Sahl of Baghdad accurately describes Snell's law.



- ~150 AD: Ptolemy of Alexandria finds a relationship that works for small angles of refraction.
- 984 AD: Ibn Sahl of Baghdad accurately describes Snell's law.
- 1602: Thomas Hariot of England rediscovers it (does not publish it).



عنقطة تفلابد مناز يقط احدخط بن بع فليكن ذلك الخط مبقرة للعسل المشترك بين هذا السطح وبين سطح قبلح ق و خطر بتر فلات هذا السطح با ترضيسط مسط فيقط ت غنط مبترع ترقط فعدد غينتطةت وكذلكخط سقر وهلجال طمت ويغطة ترسط. سنوغرسطي مستنص ()

- ~150 AD: Ptolemy of Alexandria finds a relationship that works for small angles of refraction.
- 984 AD: Ibn Sahl of Baghdad accurately describes Snell's law.
- 1602: Thomas Hariot of England rediscovers it (does not publish it).
- 1621: Dutch astronomer Willebrord Snellius derives a mathematically equivalent law (does not publish it).



- ~150 AD: Ptolemy of Alexandria finds a relationship that works for small angles of refraction.
- 984 AD: Ibn Sahl of Baghdad accurately describes Snell's law.
- 1602: Thomas Hariot of England rediscovers it (does not publish it).
- 1621: Dutch astronomer Willebrord Snellius derives a mathematically equivalent law (does not publish it).
- 1637: René Descartes independently derives the law and publishes it.



- ~150 AD: Ptolemy of Alexandria finds a relationship that works for small angles of refraction.
- 984 AD: Ibn Sahl of Baghdad accurately describes Snell's law.
- 1602: Thomas Hariot of England rediscovers it (does not publish it).
- 1621: Dutch astronomer Willebrord Snellius derives a mathematically equivalent law (does not publish it).
- 1637: René Descartes independently derives the law and publishes it.
- 1662: Pierre de Fermat rejects Descartes's derivation, reproves the law using his *principle of least time*.









$$T = \frac{\sqrt{x^2 + \mathbf{h}_1^2}}{\mathbf{v}_1} + \frac{\sqrt{(\mathbf{d} - x)^2 + \mathbf{h}_2^2}}{\mathbf{v}_2}.$$



$$T = \frac{\sqrt{x^2 + \mathbf{h}_1^2}}{\mathbf{v}_1} + \frac{\sqrt{(\mathbf{d} - x)^2 + \mathbf{h}_2^2}}{\mathbf{v}_2}.$$
$$\frac{dT}{dx} = \frac{x}{\mathbf{v}_1 \sqrt{x^2 + \mathbf{h}_1^2}} - \frac{\mathbf{d} - x}{\mathbf{v}_2 \sqrt{(\mathbf{d} - x)^2 + \mathbf{h}_2^2}}.$$



**Fermat's Principle:** A ray of light follows the path which takes the least time to traverse.

$$T = \frac{\sqrt{x^2 + \mathbf{h}_1^2}}{\mathbf{v}_1} + \frac{\sqrt{(\mathbf{d} - x)^2 + \mathbf{h}_2^2}}{\mathbf{v}_2}.$$
$$\frac{dT}{dx} = \frac{x}{\mathbf{v}_1 \sqrt{x^2 + \mathbf{h}_1^2}} - \frac{\mathbf{d} - x}{\mathbf{v}_2 \sqrt{(\mathbf{d} - x)^2 + \mathbf{h}_2^2}}.$$
Notice that
$$\frac{x}{\sqrt{x^2 + \mathbf{h}_1^2}} = \sin \theta_1,$$

January 25, 2022



$$T = \frac{\sqrt{x^2 + h_1^2}}{v_1} + \frac{\sqrt{(d - x)^2 + h_2^2}}{v_2}.$$
$$\frac{dT}{dx} = \frac{x}{v_1\sqrt{x^2 + h_1^2}} - \frac{d - x}{v_2\sqrt{(d - x)^2 + h_2^2}}.$$
Notice that
$$\frac{x}{\sqrt{x^2 + h_1^2}} = \sin\theta_1, \frac{d - x}{\sqrt{(d - x)^2 + h_2^2}} = \sin\theta_2.$$



**Fermat's Principle:** A ray of light follows the path which takes the least time to traverse.

$$T = \frac{\sqrt{x^2 + \mathbf{h}_1^2}}{\mathbf{v}_1} + \frac{\sqrt{(\mathbf{d} - x)^2 + \mathbf{h}_2^2}}{\mathbf{v}_2}.$$
$$\frac{dT}{dx} = \frac{x}{\mathbf{v}_1 \sqrt{x^2 + \mathbf{h}_1^2}} - \frac{\mathbf{d} - x}{\mathbf{v}_2 \sqrt{(\mathbf{d} - x)^2 + \mathbf{h}_2^2}}.$$
otice that
$$\frac{x}{\sqrt{x^2 + \mathbf{h}_1^2}} = \sin \theta_1, \frac{\mathbf{d} - x}{\sqrt{(\mathbf{d} - x)^2 + \mathbf{h}_2^2}} = \sin \theta_2.$$
hus  $\frac{dT}{dx} = 0$ 

N



Fermat's Principle: A ray of light follows the path which takes the least time to traverse.

$$T = \frac{\sqrt{x^2 + \mathbf{h}_1^2}}{\mathbf{v}_1} + \frac{\sqrt{(\mathbf{d} - x)^2 + \mathbf{h}_2^2}}{\mathbf{v}_2}.$$
$$\frac{dT}{dx} = \frac{x}{\mathbf{v}_1 \sqrt{x^2 + \mathbf{h}_1^2}} - \frac{\mathbf{d} - x}{\mathbf{v}_2 \sqrt{(\mathbf{d} - x)^2 + \mathbf{h}_2^2}}.$$
Notice that
$$\frac{x}{\sqrt{x^2 + \mathbf{h}_1^2}} = \sin \theta_1, \frac{\mathbf{d} - x}{\sqrt{(\mathbf{d} - x)^2 + \mathbf{h}_2^2}} = \sin \theta_2.$$
Thus  $\frac{dT}{dx} = \mathbf{0} \Leftrightarrow \frac{\sin \theta_1}{\mathbf{v}_1} = \frac{\sin \theta_2}{\mathbf{v}_2}$ 

Т



**Fermat's Principle:** A ray of light follows the path which takes the least time to traverse.

$$T = \frac{\sqrt{x^2 + \mathbf{h}_1^2}}{\mathbf{v}_1} + \frac{\sqrt{(\mathbf{d} - x)^2 + \mathbf{h}_2^2}}{\mathbf{v}_2}.$$
$$\frac{dT}{dx} = \frac{x}{\mathbf{v}_1 \sqrt{x^2 + \mathbf{h}_1^2}} - \frac{\mathbf{d} - x}{\mathbf{v}_2 \sqrt{(\mathbf{d} - x)^2 + \mathbf{h}_2^2}}.$$
otice that
$$\frac{x}{\sqrt{x^2 + \mathbf{h}_1^2}} = \sin\theta_1, \frac{\mathbf{d} - x}{\sqrt{(\mathbf{d} - x)^2 + \mathbf{h}_2^2}} = \sin\theta_2.$$
hus  $\frac{dT}{dx} = 0 \Leftrightarrow \frac{\sin\theta_1}{\mathbf{v}_1} = \frac{\sin\theta_2}{\mathbf{v}_2} \Leftrightarrow \frac{\sin\theta_1}{\sin\theta_2} = \frac{\mathbf{v}_1}{\mathbf{v}_2}.$ 

N

 1st Century AD: Hero of Alexandria shows that the ordinary reflection law follows from a principle of least *length*.



- 1st Century AD: Hero of Alexandria shows that the ordinary reflection law follows from a principle of least *length*.
- 1657: Marin Cureau de la Chambre notes that Hero's principle of least length does not work for refraction.



- 1st Century AD: Hero of Alexandria shows that the ordinary reflection law follows from a principle of least *length*.
- 1657: Marin Cureau de la Chambre notes that Hero's principle of least length does not work for refraction.
- 1662: Fermat receives a copy of de la Chambre's treatise, and proposes a principle of least *resistance*.



- 1st Century AD: Hero of Alexandria shows that the ordinary reflection law follows from a principle of least *length*.
- 1657: Marin Cureau de la Chambre notes that Hero's principle of least length does not work for refraction.
- 1662: Fermat receives a copy of de la Chambre's treatise, and proposes a principle of least *resistance*.
- Fermat uses this principle to prove the law of refraction, using his own method of *adequality*, and rejecting Descartes's previous proof of the law.



• Claude Clerselier, a staunch defender of Descartes, criticizes Fermat for ascribing knowledge and intent to nature;

• Claude Clerselier, a staunch defender of Descartes, criticizes Fermat for ascribing knowledge and intent to nature; Fermat cannot prove his principle is natural.
- Claude Clerselier, a staunch defender of Descartes, criticizes Fermat for ascribing knowledge and intent to nature; Fermat cannot prove his principle is natural.
- 1665: Fermat dies, and Robert Hooke proposes the wave theory of light.



- Claude Clerselier, a staunch defender of Descartes, criticizes Fermat for ascribing knowledge and intent to nature; Fermat cannot prove his principle is natural.
- 1665: Fermat dies, and Robert Hooke proposes the wave theory of light.
- 1690: Christiaan Huygens publishes his "Treatise on Light," which more fully develops the wave theory of light.



- Claude Clerselier, a staunch defender of Descartes, criticizes Fermat for ascribing knowledge and intent to nature; Fermat cannot prove his principle is natural.
- 1665: Fermat dies, and Robert Hooke proposes the wave theory of light.
- 1690: Christiaan Huygens publishes his "Treatise on Light," which more fully develops the wave theory of light.
- Huygens's work contains ideas that can be used to prove Fermat's principle,



- Claude Clerselier, a staunch defender of Descartes, criticizes Fermat for ascribing knowledge and intent to nature; Fermat cannot prove his principle is natural.
- 1665: Fermat dies, and Robert Hooke proposes the wave theory of light.
- 1690: Christiaan Huygens publishes his "Treatise on Light," which more fully develops the wave theory of light.
- Huygens's work contains ideas that can be used to prove Fermat's principle, but Huygens instead proves the refraction law directly, in a more roundabout way.







Light is a disturbance which propagates in waves through a medium.



Light is a disturbance which propagates in waves through a medium.

Each point which is reached by the disturbance becomes a source of a new wave.



Light is a disturbance which propagates in waves through a medium.

Each point which is reached by the disturbance becomes a source of a new wave.

The sum of all these waves determines where the light is.



Light is a disturbance which propagates in waves through a medium.

Each point which is reached by the disturbance becomes a source of a new wave.

The sum of all these waves determines where the light is.

Light takes all paths,



Light is a disturbance which propagates in waves through a medium.

Each point which is reached by the disturbance becomes a source of a new wave.

The sum of all these waves determines where the light is.

Light takes *all* paths, but some paths cancel out due to interference of waves.







• 1810: Pierre-Simon Laplace finds a connection between Fermat's principle and Huygens's work,



• 1810: Pierre-Simon Laplace finds a connection between Fermat's principle and Huygens's work, but is confused about the details.



- 1810: Pierre-Simon Laplace finds a connection between Fermat's principle and Huygens's work, but is confused about the details.
- Thomas Young offers a rebuttal to Laplace's work,



- 1810: Pierre-Simon Laplace finds a connection between Fermat's principle and Huygens's work, but is confused about the details.
- Thomas Young offers a rebuttal to Laplace's work, but is also confused.



- 1810: Pierre-Simon Laplace finds a connection between Fermat's principle and Huygens's work, but is confused about the details.
- Thomas Young offers a rebuttal to Laplace's work, but is also confused.
- 1827: Augustin-Jean Fresnel uses Fermat's principle to perform multiple correct refraction calculations.





- 1810: Pierre-Simon Laplace finds a connection between Fermat's principle and Huygens's work, but is confused about the details.
- Thomas Young offers a rebuttal to Laplace's work, but is also confused.
- 1827: Augustin-Jean Fresnel uses Fermat's principle to perform multiple correct refraction calculations.
- 1886: Hendrik Lorentz proves Fermat's principle using Huygens's work.



- 1810: Pierre-Simon Laplace finds a connection between Fermat's principle and Huygens's work, but is confused about the details.
- Thomas Young offers a rebuttal to Laplace's work, but is also confused.
- 1827: Augustin-Jean Fresnel uses Fermat's principle to perform multiple correct refraction calculations.
- 1886: Hendrik Lorentz proves Fermat's principle using Huygens's work.
- 1959: Adriaan J. de Witte clarifies Lorentz's ideas and lays out the proof using the *calculus of variations*.



A variation V through a path  $\gamma$  is a parameterized collection of paths

# A variation V through a path $\gamma$ is a parameterized collection of paths $V=\{\gamma_s\}_{s\in[-1,1]}$

A variation V through a path  $\gamma$  is a parameterized collection of paths  $V = \{\gamma_s\}_{s \in [-1,1]}$  such that  $\gamma_0 = \gamma$ ,

A variation V through a path  $\gamma$  is a parameterized collection of paths  $V = \{\gamma_s\}_{s \in [-1,1]}$  such that  $\gamma_0 = \gamma$ , and such that the endpoints are fixed.

A variation V through a path  $\gamma$  is a parameterized collection of paths  $V = \{\gamma_s\}_{s \in [-1,1]}$  such that  $\gamma_0 = \gamma$ , and such that the endpoints are fixed.



A variation V through a path  $\gamma$  is a parameterized collection of paths  $V = \{\gamma_s\}_{s \in [-1,1]}$  such that  $\gamma_0 = \gamma$ , and such that the endpoints are fixed.



We can define the time function  $\mathcal{T}_V: [-1,1] \to [0,\infty)$  by  $\mathcal{T}_V(s) = \operatorname{time}(\gamma_s).$ 

A variation V through a path  $\gamma$  is a parameterized collection of paths  $V = \{\gamma_s\}_{s \in [-1,1]}$  such that  $\gamma_0 = \gamma$ , and such that the endpoints are fixed.



We can define the time function  $T_V : [-1, 1] \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  by  $T_V(s) = time(\gamma_s).$ 

A path  $\gamma$  is called *stationary* if for all variations V through  $\gamma$ , we have

$$T_V'(0)=0.$$

A variation V through a path  $\gamma$  is a parameterized collection of paths  $V = \{\gamma_s\}_{s \in [-1,1]}$  such that  $\gamma_0 = \gamma$ , and such that the endpoints are fixed.



We can define the time function  $T_V : [-1, 1] \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  by  $T_V(s) = time(\gamma_s).$ 



A path  $\gamma$  is called *stationary* if for all variations V through  $\gamma$ , we have

$$T_V'(0)=0.$$

Fermat's Principle: Light traverses the path of least time.

**Fermat's Principle:** Light traverses the path of least time. **Weak Fermat's Principle:** Light traverses *stationary* paths.

Fermat's Principle: Light traverses the path of least time. Weak Fermat's Principle: Light traverses *stationary* paths. Weak\* Fermat's Principle: Light does not traverse non-stationary paths.

Fermat's Principle: Light traverses the path of least time. Weak Fermat's Principle: Light traverses *stationary* paths. Weak\* Fermat's Principle: Light does not traverse non-stationary paths.

 $T_{V}'(0) > 0$
## Fermat's Principle of Least Time

Fermat's Principle: Light traverses the path of least time. Weak Fermat's Principle: Light traverses *stationary* paths. Weak\* Fermat's Principle: Light does not traverse non-stationary paths.



## Stationary $\neq$ Least Time

