

$$\mathcal{H} = \{\emptyset\} \cup \{(a, b] \mid -\infty \leq a < b < \infty\} \cup \{(a, \infty) \mid -\infty \leq a < \infty\}$$

$$F: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \quad \begin{array}{l} \text{increasing} \\ \text{right-cts} \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{l} (s \leq t \Rightarrow F(s) \leq F(t)) \\ (x_n \searrow x \Rightarrow F(x_n) \rightarrow F(x)) \end{array}$$

$$\text{extend to } F(-\infty) = \lim_{x \rightarrow -\infty} F(x)$$

$$F(\infty) = \lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} F(x)$$

$$\mu_0(\emptyset) = 0$$

$$\mu_0(a, b] = F(b) - F(a)$$

$$\mu_0(a, \infty) = F(\infty) - F(a).$$

$\mathcal{A} = \{\text{finite disjoint unions of elts of } \mathcal{H}\}$

$\mathcal{A}$  is an algebra.

$$\text{extend } \mu_0 \text{ to } \mathcal{A} \text{ by } \mu_0\left(\bigsqcup_{j=1}^n H_j\right) = \sum_{j=1}^n \mu_0(H_j)$$

↑  
this is well-defined.

and  $m(A) = B_{\mathbb{R}}$ .

Theorem:  $\mu_0$  is a premeasure on  $\mathcal{A}$ .

pf: suppose  $(E_n) \subset \mathcal{A}$  is a seq. of disjoint sets

&  $\coprod E_n \in \mathcal{A}$ . Then  $\exists$  disjoint  $n$ -intervals

$$F_1, \dots, F_k \in \mathcal{H} \text{ s.t. } \coprod E_n = \coprod_{j=1}^k F_j.$$

$$\forall n, E_n = \coprod_{\substack{j \\ E_n^j}} E_n \cap F_j. \quad (F_j = \coprod_n E_n^j)$$

claim:  $\forall j, \mu_0(F_j) = \sum_n \mu_0(E_n^j)$ .

using the claim & finite additivity

$$\text{of } \mu_0, \mu_0(\coprod E_n) = \mu_0(\coprod_{j=1}^k F_j) = \sum_{j=1}^k \mu_0(F_j)$$

$$= \sum_{j=1}^k \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mu_0(E_n^j)$$

$$= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{j=1}^k \mu_0(E_n^j)$$

$$= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mu_0(\coprod_{j=1}^k (E_n \cap F_j))$$

$$= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mu_0(E_n).$$

pf of class:

Step 1: Suppose  $-\infty < a < b < \infty$   
 $(a, b] = \bigsqcup_1^{\infty} (a_j, b_j]$

Then  $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $\bigsqcup_1^n (a_j, b_j] \subset \bigsqcup_1^{\infty} (a_j, b_j] = (a, b]$ .

By monotonicity,

$$\sum_1^n \mu_0(a_j, b_j] = \mu_0\left(\bigsqcup_1^n (a_j, b_j]\right) \leq \mu_0(a, b].$$

$$\leadsto \sum_1^{\infty} \mu_0(a_j, b_j] \leq \mu_0(a, b].$$

For the other direction, let  $\varepsilon > 0$ .

• Since  $F$  is right cts,  $\exists \delta > 0$  s.t.

$$F(a + \delta) - F(a) < \frac{\varepsilon}{2}.$$

•  $\forall j$ ,  $\exists \delta_j > 0$  s.t.  $F(b_j + \delta_j) - F(b_j) < \frac{\varepsilon}{2^{j+1}}$ .

Observe  $\{(a_j, b_j + \delta_j)\}_{j=1}^{\infty}$  is an open cover of

$[a + \delta, b]$  (compact!).

$\exists n > 0$  s.t.  $[a + \delta, b] \subset \bigcup_{j=1}^n (a_j, b_j + \delta_j)$ .

Then

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_0(a, b] &= F(b) - F(a) \\ &< F(b) - F(a + \delta) + \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \mu_0(a+s, b] + \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \\
&\leq \mu_0\left(\bigcup_1^N (a_j, b_j + \delta_j]\right) + \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \\
&\leq \sum_1^N \mu_0(a_j, b_j + \delta_j] + \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \\
&= \sum_1^N (F(b_j + \delta_j) - F(a_j)) + \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \\
&\leq \sum_1^N \left(F(b_j) + \frac{\varepsilon}{2^{j+1}} - F(a_j)\right) + \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \\
&= \sum_1^N (F(b_j) - F(a_j)) + \sum_1^N \frac{\varepsilon}{2^{j+1}} + \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \\
&\leq \sum_1^N \mu_0(a_j, b_j] + \frac{\varepsilon}{2} + \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \\
&\leq \sum_1^{\infty} \mu_0(a_j, b_j] + \varepsilon
\end{aligned}$$

Since  $\varepsilon$  was arbitrary,

$$\mu_0(a, b] \leq \sum \mu_0(a_j, b_j].$$

(Other cases for H.W.) □

$$(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{A}, \mu_0) \rightsquigarrow (\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}), \mu^*) \rightsquigarrow (\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{M}_F^*, \mu_F)$$

$\begin{matrix} \parallel & \parallel \\ \mathcal{M}_F & \mu^*|_{\mathcal{M}_F} \end{matrix}$

Observe  $A \subset M_F$  s.t.  $B_{\mathbb{R}} \subset M_F$ .

Call  $\mu_F|_{B_{\mathbb{R}}}$  the Lebesgue-Stieltjes measure  
assoc. to  $F$ .

•  $F = \text{id}$ , call  $\mu_F =: \lambda$ , Lebesgue measure,  $\mathcal{L} = M_{\text{id}}$ .

Observe:  $\mu_F$  is  $\sigma$ -finite.

$$\mathbb{R} = \bigsqcup_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} (n, n+1],$$

↑  
has finite  $\mu_F$ ,  $F(n+1) - F(n)$ .

Lebesgue measure:

Dilation & translation properties:

for  $E \subset \mathbb{R}$ , let  $rE = \{rx \mid x \in E\}$   
 $E+s = \{x+s \mid x \in E\}$  ( $r, s \in \mathbb{R}$ )

Thm: Suppose  $E \in \mathcal{L} = \overline{B_{\mathbb{R}}}$  for  $\lambda|_{B_{\mathbb{R}}}$ .

- ① For every  $r \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $rE \in \mathcal{L}$  and  $\lambda(rE) = |r| \cdot \lambda(E)$
- ② For every  $s \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $E+s \in \mathcal{L}$  and  $\lambda(E+s) = \lambda(E)$

↑ uniquely characterizes  $\lambda$  w/  $\lambda(0,1] = 1$ .

Pf we'll do ② and ① is in the notes.

Step 1: since  $\mathcal{H}$  is closed under  $E \mapsto E+s$ ,  
and so is  $\mathcal{B}_{\mathbb{R}}$ , then  $\lambda_s(E) := \lambda(E+s)$  defines  
a measure on  $\mathcal{B}_{\mathbb{R}}$  s.t.  $\lambda_s = \lambda|_{\mathcal{B}_{\mathbb{R}}}$ .

Pf That  $\lambda_s$  is a measure is an exercise.

If  $E \in \mathcal{H}$ , then  $\lambda_s(E) = \lambda(E)$ .

Thus  $\lambda_s = \lambda$  on  $\mathcal{A}$ . Thus  $\lambda_s = \lambda$  on all of  $\mathcal{B}_{\mathbb{R}}$   
by the extension theorem.

Step 2: if  $E \in \mathcal{L}$  is  $\lambda$ -null then  $E+s$  is also  $\lambda$ -null.

Pf by HW,  $E \in \mathcal{L}$  is  $\lambda$ -null iff  $\exists N \in \mathcal{B}_{\mathbb{R}}$  s.t.  
 $E \subset N$  and  $\lambda(N) = 0$ .

Now  $E+s \subset N+s$  &  $\lambda(N+s) = \lambda(N) = 0$  by step 1.

So  $E+s \in \mathcal{L}$  is  $\lambda$ -null.

Now as  $\mathcal{L} = \overline{\mathcal{B}_{\mathbb{R}}}$  for  $\lambda$ , we see  $\lambda_s = \lambda$  on  $\mathcal{L}$ .  $\square$

Facts:

① any countable set has Lebesgue measure 0.

$$S_{\mathbb{N}} = \{x_n\} \quad \lambda(\{x_n\}) = 0.$$

new

② The Cantor set has Lebesgue measure 0  
uncountable