

Generalizations of Extreme Value Theorem.

Theorem Let $f: X \rightarrow Y$ be continuous & onto. If X is compact, so is Y .

Proof: Let $\{V_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in \mathcal{A}}$ be an open cover of Y . Then $\{f^{-1}(V_\alpha)\}_{\alpha \in \mathcal{A}}$ is an open cover of X since $X = f^{-1}(Y) = \bigcup_{\alpha \in \mathcal{A}} f^{-1}(V_\alpha)$. Since X is compact, there is a finite subcover $\{f^{-1}(V_{\alpha_i})\}_{i=1}^n$.

$$Y = f(X) = f\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^n f^{-1}(V_{\alpha_i})\right) = \bigcup_{i=1}^n f(f^{-1}(V_{\alpha_i}))$$

\uparrow onto
 onto $\rightarrow = \bigcup_{i=1}^n V_{\alpha_i}$

Reformulation: Theorem: Let $f: X \rightarrow Y$ be cts, X compact. then $f(X)$ is a compact subset of Y .

Corollary (Extreme Value theorem for \mathbb{R}^n): If $A \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ is a compact subset, and $f: A \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is continuous everywhere, then f takes on both a maximum and a minimum.

Proof $f(A)$ is a closed interval (a compact subset of \mathbb{R})

The following results hold for metric spaces (not general topological spaces)

Theorem Bolzano-Weierstrass property. Let (X, d) be a compact metric space. then any sequence $\{x_n\}_{n=1}^\infty \subseteq X$ has a convergent subsequence in X .

Proof: By contradiction. Suppose $\{x_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ has no convergent subsequence then for any $z \in X$, there is an open ball st. $x_n \in B(r_z, z)$ for only finitely many indices. (if $\exists z$ st. $B(r, z)$ contained infinitely many x_n then

can construct a subsequence converging to z). Then $X = \bigcup_{z \in X} \{z\} \subseteq \bigcup_{z \in X} \overline{B(r_z, z)} \subseteq X$
 so $\{B(r_z, z)\}_{z \in X}$ is an open cover of X . Since X is compact there is a finite subcover $\{B(r_{z_i}, z_i)\}_{i=1}^n$. Then since $\{n \mid x_n \in B(r_{z_i}, z_i)\}$ is finite $\Rightarrow \{n \mid x_n \in \bigcup_{i=1}^n B(r_{z_i}, z_i)\}$ is finite but this is the same as (or greater than) $\{n \mid x_n \in X\}$ which is infinite. Contradiction. ■

Definition Let (X, d) be a metric space. A sequence $\{x_n\}_{n=1}^\infty \subseteq X$ is a Cauchy sequence if $\forall \varepsilon > 0$ there is an index N s.t. if $n, m > N$ then $d(x_n, x_m) < \varepsilon$.

Definition (X, d) is complete if every Cauchy sequence converges in X .

Theorem If (X, d) is compact then it is complete. (converse is not true: \mathbb{R} complete not compact)

Lemma If a Cauchy sequence has a convergent subsequence, then the entire sequence converges.

Proof Let $\{x_{n_j}\}_{j=1}^\infty$ be a convergent subsequence. Let $z = \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} x_{n_j}$. Want to show $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n = z$.
 Let $\varepsilon > 0$ be given. Choose N_1 so that $n_j > N_1 \Rightarrow d(z, x_{n_j}) < \frac{\varepsilon}{2}$. Now since $\{x_n\}$ Cauchy, pick N_2 s.t. $m, n > N_2 \Rightarrow d(x_m, x_n) < \frac{\varepsilon}{2}$. Claim: if $m > \max\{N_1, N_2\}$ then $d(x_m, z) < \varepsilon$.
 Pick $n_j > \max\{N_1, N_2\}$. then $d(x_m, z) \leq d(x_m, x_{n_j}) + d(x_{n_j}, z) < \frac{\varepsilon}{2} + \frac{\varepsilon}{2} = \varepsilon$. ■

Proof of Theorem: BWP + Lemma. ■

Theorem \mathbb{R}^n is complete w.r.t any norm metric.

Proof: Suppose $\{\vec{x}_m\}$ is a Cauchy sequence. Then $\{\vec{x}_m\}$ is bounded: choose an index M s.t. $p, m \geq M \Rightarrow |\vec{x}_m - \vec{x}_p| < 1$. Then $\min_{m=1}^M \|\vec{x}_m\| - 1 \leq \vec{x}_p \leq \max_{m=1}^M \|\vec{x}_m\| + 1 \quad \forall p$.
 by BWP, since $\{\vec{x}_m\}$ is contained in some closed interval, it has a convergent subsequence w/ a limit in the interval. By lemma, this means $\{\vec{x}_m\}$ converges so \mathbb{R}^n is compact. ■

Definition Let $f: (X, d_1) \rightarrow (Y, d_2)$ be a function between metric spaces. We say that f is uniformly continuous if $\forall \varepsilon > 0 \exists \delta > 0$ s.t. $\forall x, y \in X, d_1(x, y) < \delta \Rightarrow d_2(f(x), f(y)) < \varepsilon$.

Theorem If $f: (X, d_1) \rightarrow (Y, d_2)$ is continuous and X is compact, then f is uniformly cts.

Proof: By contradiction. Suppose f not unif. cts. Then $\exists \varepsilon > 0$ and $\forall \delta > 0$ s.t. $\exists x_\delta, z_\delta \in X$ s.t.

$d_1(x_\delta, z_\delta) < \delta$ but $d_2(f(x_\delta), f(z_\delta)) \geq \varepsilon$. take $\delta = \frac{1}{n}$. Then can find

$x_n, z_n \in X$ s.t. $d(x_n, z_n) < \frac{1}{n}$ but $d_2(f(x_n), f(z_n)) \geq \varepsilon$. By BWP, $\{x_n\}$ has a convergent subsequence $\{x_{n_j}\}$ let $\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} x_{n_j} = a \in X$. Then

$$d(z_{n_j}, a) \leq d(z_{n_j}, x_{n_j}) + d(x_{n_j}, a) < d(x_{n_j}, a) + \frac{1}{n_j} \rightarrow 0$$

So $\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} z_{n_j} = a \in X$. by continuity, $\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} f(x_{n_j}) = f(a) = \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} f(z_{n_j})$.

$$\varepsilon \leq d_2(f(x_{n_j}), f(z_{n_j})) \leq d_2(f(x_{n_j}), f(a)) + d_2(f(a), f(z_{n_j})) \rightarrow 0, \text{ a contradiction.}$$