

Recursion Theorem:

Fixed Point form: Let σ be a total recursive function. Then $\exists i$ s.t. $\varphi_i = \varphi_{\sigma(i)}$.

Self-referential form: Let f be a partial recursive function. Then
 $\exists i$ s.t. $\forall j, \varphi_i(j) = f(\langle i, j \rangle)$.

Proof of self-referential form: By S_{mn} theorem, \exists a total computable σ s.t. $\forall i, j, \varphi_{\sigma(i)}(j) = f(\langle i, j \rangle)$. Then by the Fixed-point form, $\exists i$ s.t. $\varphi_i = \varphi_{\sigma(i)}$, so $\varphi_i(j) = f(\langle i, j \rangle)$. \square

Exercise: assuming SR form, prove FP form.

Some applications of self-referential form:

① let $f(\langle i, j \rangle) = i$. Then $\exists i$ s.t. $\varphi_i(j) = i$ ("knows its own index").

② say that T.M. M_i is minimal if $\varphi_i = \varphi_j \Rightarrow i < j$.

Let $MIN = \{i : M_i \text{ is minimal}\}$. (or $\varphi_i \neq \varphi_j \forall j < i$).

MIN is not R.E. Assume it were. Then \exists an enumerator for MIN .

Let $f(\langle i, j \rangle) = \varphi_m(j)$ where m is the first number greater than i which is enumerated. Then f is partial recursive.

So by self-referential form, $\exists i_0$ s.t. $\forall j, \varphi_{i_0}(j) = f(\langle i_0, j \rangle) = \varphi_m(j)$.

but $i_0 < m$ so $m \notin MIN$, a contradiction \square

① Is there a 1-1 correspondence between K and $EMPTY$? Yes, they're both countable. What about a computable 1-1 correspondence?

No, since $K \in r.e.$ and $EMPTY \notin r.e.$, and having a 1-1 correspondence would mean $K \leq_m EMPTY$ and $EMPTY \leq_m K$ by f and g , where $f(e)$ is the 1-1 correspondence if $e \in K$ and it's some non-empty otherwise $g(e)$ is the inverse of the H if $e \in EMPTY$ and it's some non- K o.w.

↓ using 1-1 correspondence & an enumerator for K to get an enumerator for $EMPTY$, Contradiction

So there is no 1-1 correspondence.

Actually there are not computable.

② Define \leq_m .

③ Let $D = \{ \langle i, s, x \rangle : i \in W_j \setminus W_k \}$.

is D recursive? is D r.e.?

No:

$i \mapsto \langle i, i, \overset{EMPTY}{x} \rangle$

reduces K to D .

No:

$i \mapsto \langle i, \overset{TOT}{x}, i \rangle$

reduces \bar{K} to D .

④ Let $E = 2\omega$, $P =$ set of primes.

i) Does $E \leq_m P$? ii) Does $P \leq_m E$?

yes there is a computable 1-1 correspondence since both are recursive.

for ③, could also use $f(i) = \langle i, \overset{\text{TOT}}{\downarrow} a, b \rangle$ where $w_b = 1$.