

Lemmas: ( $L_2 \in NP$ ,  $L_1$  is NP-complete,  $L_1 \leq_p L_2$ )  $\Rightarrow$   $L_2$  is NP-complete

Pf:  $\leq_p$  is transitive.

P is closed under complementation

NP might be...

Give an example of a problem B s.t.

i)  $B \notin NP$

ii)  $\forall L \in NP, L \leq_p B$ .

Let A be an NP-complete problem.

Let  $B = 2A \cup (2K+1)$ .  $K \leq_m B$ , so  $B \notin NP$ .

$\forall L \in NP, L \leq_m B$  by 2f where f reduces L to A.

Satisfiability (SAT):

Instance: a Boolean expression E in conjunctive normal form over the variables  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n$

Question: is E satisfiable. i.e. is there a truth assignment  $\alpha \in \{T, F\}^n = \{T, F\}^{x_1, \dots, x_n}$  that makes each clause in E evaluate to T.

conjunctive normal form:

variables:  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n$  which take values T or F

Variables:  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n$  which take values T or F

Literals:  $x_1, \bar{x}_1, x_2, \bar{x}_2, \dots, x_n, \bar{x}_n$ .

clauses: disjunction of literals (i.e.  $x_5 \vee \bar{x}_{16} \vee \bar{x}_{30} \vee x_{47}$ )

CNF expressions: conjunction of clauses (i.e.  $(x_5 \vee \bar{x}_{16}) \wedge x_2 \wedge (\bar{x}_{30} \vee x_{47})$ ).

Note: can just juxtapose instead of writing  $\wedge$ .

Cook-Levin Theorem: SAT is NP-complete.

Proof: Clearly  $\text{SAT} \in \text{NP}$  (interpret guess as truth values).

Let  $L \in \text{NP}$ . We'll show  $L \leq_P \text{SAT}$ .

Let  $M$  be a poly-time NDTM that accepts  $L$ . Let  $P$  be

a polynomial s.t.  $T_M(n) \leq P(n) \forall n$ . Let  $x \in \{0, 1\}^*$

and let  $n = |x|$ . Assume WLOG that  $\{q_0, q_1, \dots, q_r\}$  are

the states of  $M$ , with  $q_0$  being the start state and  $q_r$  the accepting state.

We must efficiently construct a Boolean expression  $E$  in CNF that is satisfiable iff  $M$  accepts  $x$ .

to be continued...